Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/196,963	SINGHAL, TARA C.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Daniel St.Cyr	2876
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) <u>Daniel St. Cyr</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Tara Chand Singal</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 16 May 2006	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ If Yes, provide a brief description:	nt's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 53, 72		
Prior art documents discussed: N/A		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	S DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary 	e examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
Dun		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant)	'Applicant's Representative Si	gnature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: After numerous discussions with the applicant, further search, and thorough examination of the present application, the limitations "... computing the contribution by multiplying the sale amount and a percent of sale ..." were determined to be diffent from the normal percentage calculation of charitable contribution. These limitionas were inserted into other independent claims. Suggestion for claims 53 and was emailed to the applicant, he responded by aggreeing with the the amendment of claim 53 and amended claim 72 to his liking. The examiner further amended claim 72 via email, the applicant called the examiner to change a semi-column after 'sale" on the 3rd line to a coma.